

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,163	12/22/1999	DAVID M. PUTZOLU 81674-264193		5845	
75	90 02/12/2003				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 725 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, QUANG N		
SUITE 2800 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90017-5406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141	9	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>*)</u>		Amplication No		Applicant(s)			
·-		Application No.					
Office Action Summary		09/470,163		PUTZOLU ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Quang N. Nguyen		2141	Irocc		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 l</u>						
	/// // // // // // // // // // // // //	nis action is non-fi					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.				
Application		er.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
1	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5)		ary (PTO-413) Paper Nal Patent Application (P			
			· ·				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination. Claims 1, 8, 17-21 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. (US 6,434,618), herein after referred as Cohen, and in view of Ramaswamy et al. (US 6,424,621), herein after referred as Ramaswamy.
- 4. As to claim 1, Cohen teaches a computer system comprising a forwarding element (router) adapted to perform data forwarding in a computer network; an interconnecting element operatively connecting the forwarding element to the control element; and a forwarding element plugin integrated with the control element (programmable network element/gateway) for receiving the standardized data set from the control element, translating the standardized data set into a specialized data set,

Art Unit: 2141

and transmitting the specialized data set to the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element, wherein the forwarding element utilizes the specialized data set to configure the forwarding element for performing data forwarding in the computer network (Cohen, Figs, 1-4 and respective portions of the specification, C2: L5-36, C3: L30-67, C4: L1-65, C11: L25-67, C12, and C13: L1-34). However, Cohen does not explicitly teach a control element adapted to perform network signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element.

In the related art, Ramaswamy teaches a computer system comprising a control element adapted to perform network signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element (Ramaswamy, Figs 1-7 and respective portions of the specification, C3: L9-50, C6: L25-48, C7: L1-24, and C10: L20-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Cohen and Ramaswamy to include a control element adapted to perform network signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element since such methods/techniques were conventionally employed in transferring data packets between computer networks in which a software interface is defined between the switching module and the operating system for transferring data packets there between.

Art Unit: 2141

- 5. As to claim 2, Cohen teaches the computer system as in claim 1, further including an opaque forwarding element plugin (the dispatcher process 402) for receiving the standardized data set from the control element and transmitting the standardized data set to the forwarding element plugin, and for receiving the specialized data set from the forwarding element plugin and transmitting the specialized data set to the forwarding element plugin and transmitting the specialized data set to the forwarding element (Cohen, Fig. 4 and respective portion of the specification, C4: L14-38, C5: L40-67 and C6: L1-27).
- 6. As to claim 3, Cohen-Ramaswamy teaches the computer system as in claim 1, but does not explicitly teach the specialized data set is a binary large object. However, as generally known in the art, a Binary Large Object (BLOB) is a variable-length data type that is commonly used to store complex data, such as graphics images, video/audio data, and other non-textual data. Therefore, Cohen-Ramaswamy inherently teaches the specialized data is a binary large object (Cohen, C3: L30-67 and C4: L1-6).
- 7. As to claim 6, Cohen-Ramaswamy teaches the computer system as in claim 1, wherein the specialized data set is encrypted before transmission to the forwarding element, and the encrypted specialized data set is decrypted at the forwarding element (Cohen, C3: L49-52 and C4: L57-62).
- 8. As to claim 7, Cohen-Ramaswamy teaches the computer system as in claim 1, wherein the forwarding element plugin is a dynamic link library (Cohen, C6: L28-50).

Art Unit: 2141

- 9. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen, in view of Ramaswamy, and further in view of Beighe et al. (US 5,742,607), herein after referred as Beighe.
- 10. As to claims 4-5, Cohen-Ramaswamy teaches the computer system as in claim 1, but does not explicitly teach the forwarding element further includes a decapsulator that receives the specialized data set and decapsulates the specialized data set into data readable by a device-specific forwarding element interface of the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element.

In the related art, Beighe teaches a computer system comprising a central processor, a forward channel interface, a return channel interface, and a main memory, each being coupled to a bus, wherein the forwarding element further includes a decapsulator that receives the specialized data set and decapsulates the specialized data set into data readable by a device-specific forwarding element interface of the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element (Beighe, Fig. 3 and respective portion of the specification, C2: L24-48 and C8: L10-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Cohen-Ramaswamy and Beighe to include a decapsulator that receives the specialized data set and decapsulates the specialized data set into data readable by a device-specific forwarding element interface of the forwarding element to configure the forwarding

Art Unit: 2141

Page 6

element since such methods/techniques were conventionally employed in packet manipulation to control two way communication in network management system.

- 11. Claims 8-16 are corresponding method claims of claims 1-7; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 12. Claims 17-21 are corresponding article claims of claims 1-7; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163

Art Unit: 2141

Response to Arguments

13. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that

(A) Prior Arts do not disclose "a control element adapted to perform network

signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to

generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element".

As to point (A), Ramaswamy teaches a data packet switching system comprising

the control processor 42 that handles administrative and configuration functions for the

load balancing and packet switching system 10, wherein the control processor is

adapted to generate load distribution configuration data therefrom (Ramaswamy, C3:

L14-16, C6: L34-40).

(B) Prior Arts do not disclose "a forwarding element plugin integrated with the

control element for receiving the uniform standardized data set from the control

element, translating the uniform standardized data set into proprietary specialized data

set to the forwarding element, and transmitting the proprietary specialized data set to

the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element".

As to point (B) Cohen teaches a programmable gateway (a forwarding element)

that receives, manipulates/processes and forwards packet traffic (adapted to perform

data receiving, translating and forwarding in a computer network) through the packet

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163 Page 8

Art Unit: 2141

filter for output onto the network through network interfaces (Cohen, C3: L36-40 and C4: L14-29).

14. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/26/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Le H. Luu, can be reached at (703) 305-9650. The fax phone numbers for the organization is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Quang N. Nguyen

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER